

Preacceleration without radiation: The non-existence of preradiation phenomenon

José A. Heras*

*Departamento de Física, E. S. F. M., Instituto Politécnico Nacional,
México D. F. México and Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803-4001, USA*

Abstract

An unexpected prediction of classical electrodynamics is that a charge can accelerate before a force is applied. We would expect that a preaccelerated charge would radiate so that there would be spontaneous preradiation, an acausal phenomenon. We reexamine the subtle relation between the Larmor formula for the power radiated by a point charge and the Abraham-Lorentz equation and find that for well-behaved external forces acting for finite times, the charge does not radiate in time intervals where there is preacceleration. That is, for these forces preradiation does not exist even though the charge is preaccelerated. The radiative energy is emitted only in time intervals when the external force acts on the charge.

I. INTRODUCTION

A universally accepted idea is that an accelerated charge radiates. However, Abbott and Griffiths¹ have found some extended configurations with sinusoidal currents that do not radiate for certain special frequencies for which the external fields are exactly zero. They refer to other work in which similar configurations involving acceleration without radiation has been discussed.¹ The fact that acceleration without radiation occurs when the external fields are exactly zero cannot be considered a fortuitous result attributable to extended configurations. We would expect that a point charge and an extended charge would radiate only when an external force acts on them. To understand why acceleration without radiation is possible we should also consider the radiation reaction force because the emission of radiation is generally accompanied by a radiation reaction, a recoil force attributable to the fields acting back on the charge.

For a point charge e of mass m acceleration without radiation when the external force vanishes is not supported by the Larmor formula for the instantaneous power radiated:

$$P = m\tau a^2, \tag{1}$$

where $\tau = 2e^2/(3mc^3)$. The Abraham-Lorentz (AL) equation of motion includes the radiation reaction force:

$$m\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{F} + m\tau\dot{\mathbf{a}}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{F}(t)$ is an external force and a dot means time differentiation. Equation (2) states that the charge can accelerate even when \mathbf{F} vanishes and it should radiate because of Eq. (1).

It is generally believed that radiation without the intervention of an external force occurs in the preacceleration effect.²⁻⁴ To avoid runaway solutions in Eq. (2) we can assume that $\mathbf{a}(\infty) = 0$,³ but Eq. (2) predicts preacceleration: the charge accelerates before the force acts on it. The charge should then radiate because of Eq. (1). Therefore, Eqs. (1) and (2) predict the existence of preradiation: the charge radiates before the external force acts on it.

Although it is difficult to understand how acausal preaccelerations can exist in the context of a classical theory, it is even more challenging to understand how spontaneous preradiation can occur. Radiation in classical electrodynamics is expected to be an observable quantity. But how can radiation be detected when we have still not acted on the charge? No direct or indirect experimental evidence of acausal preradiation has been reported. The existence of

preradiation could be questioned because we can have acceleration without radiation when the external fields are zero.¹

In this paper we reexamine the subtle relation between Eqs. (1) and (2) and find that for well-behaved external forces acting for finite times, the charge does not radiate in time intervals where there is preacceleration. That is, for these forces preradiation does not exist even though the charge is preaccelerated. The radiative energy is emitted only in time intervals when the external force acts on the charge.

II. THE PRERADIATION PHENOMENON

We review examples of preradiation for the following external forces: (A) Dirac delta force; (B) well-behaved force acting on a finite time; (C) periodical force acting on entire cycles and (D) constant force acting on a finite time. Examples (A) and (D) are problems of Griffith's textbook.⁴⁻⁷ Examples (C) and (D) are special cases of the example (B).

A. The Dirac delta force

Preradiation can be illustrated by an example due to Dirac² in which a charge is disturbed by a momentary pulse represented by a delta function acting only at $t = 0$:⁵

$$F(t) = k\delta(t), \quad (3)$$

where $k > 0$. The nonrunaway solution of Eq. (2) for this force is given by:⁵

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} ke^{t/\tau}/(m\tau) & (t < 0) \\ 0. & (t > 0) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The total radiated energy in the interval (t_1, t_2) is calculated with the formula

$$W_R(t_1, t_2) = m\tau \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a^2 dt. \quad (5)$$

For the force in Eq. (3) the total radiated energy is given by:⁵

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = W_R(-\infty, 0) = \frac{k^2}{2m}, \quad (6)$$

which is radiated only during the preacceleration interval $(-\infty, 0)$. Equation (6) is an example of *pure* preradiation.

B. Well-behaved external force

Preradiation seems to exist for a more realistic external force beginning at $t = 0$ and lasting until $t = T$,

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (t \leq 0) \\ \mathbf{f}(t), & (0 \leq t \leq T) \\ 0 & t \geq T \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{f}(t)$ is a well-behaved function of time. We note that Eq. (7) represents a family of forces. By assuming the condition $\mathbf{a}(\infty) = 0$, we can obtain the nonrunaway solution of Eq. (2) for the force in Eq. (7):

$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \begin{cases} [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(0)]e^{t/\tau}, & (t \leq 0) \\ [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(t)]e^{t/\tau}, & (0 \leq t \leq T) \\ 0, & (t \geq T) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where the function $\mathbf{g}(t)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{g}(t) = \frac{1}{m\tau} \int e^{-t/\tau} \mathbf{f}(t) dt. \quad (9)$$

The total radiated energy $W_R(-\infty, \infty)$ is obtained by adding the contributions in the intervals $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, T)$. Note that $W_R(T, \infty) = 0$. From Eqs. (5) and (8) we obtain

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \frac{m\tau^2}{2} [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(0)]^2 + m\tau \int_0^T [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(t)]^2 e^{2t/\tau} dt. \quad (10)$$

The first term in Eq. (10) is the energy radiated in the interval $(-\infty, 0)$ and the second term is the energy radiated in the interval $(0, T)$. The external force does not act in $(-\infty, 0)$, but in this interval the charge is preaccelerated (see Eq. (8)) yielding the radiative energy appearing in the first term of Eq. (10), which represents another example of preradiation.

C. Periodical external force

As a special case of Eq. (7) we consider the periodic force acting for n cycles:

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & (t \leq 0) \\ k \sin(\omega t), & (0 \leq t \leq T) \\ 0, & (t \geq T) \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $T = 2n\pi/\omega$. In this case Eq. (9) takes the form

$$g(t) = -\frac{ke^{-t/\tau}}{m(1 + \omega^2\tau^2)}[\omega\tau \cos(\omega t) + \sin(\omega t)]. \quad (12)$$

The nonrunaway solution of Eq. (2) when the external force is specified by Eq. (11) can be obtained from Eqs. (8) and (12):

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha[e^{t/\tau} - e^{(t-T)/\tau}], & (t \leq 0) \\ \alpha[\sin(\omega t)/\omega\tau + \cos(\omega t) - e^{(t-T)/\tau}], & (0 \leq t \leq T) \\ 0, & (t \geq T) \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha = k\omega\tau/(m[1 + \omega^2\tau^2])$. From Eqs. (5) and (13) or from Eqs. (10) and (12) we can obtain the total radiated energy:

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \frac{m\tau^2\alpha^2}{2}(1 - e^{-T/\tau})^2 + \frac{m\tau^2\alpha^2}{2}\left(1 + \frac{T}{\tau} + \frac{T}{\tau^3\omega^2} - e^{-2T/\tau}\right), \quad (14)$$

where the first term on the right-hand side is the preradiative energy emitted during the interval $(-\infty, 0)$ and the second term is the energy radiated in the interval $(0, T)$. After a simple calculation, Eq. (14) becomes

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \frac{m\tau^2\alpha^2}{2}\left(2 + \frac{T}{\tau} + \frac{T}{\tau^3\omega^2} - 2e^{-T/\tau}\right). \quad (15)$$

To verify that the energy is conserved in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$ we first need to find the velocities associated with Eq. (13). By assuming $v(-\infty) = 0$ we find

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha\tau(e^{t/\tau} - e^{(t-T)/\tau}), & (t \leq 0) \\ \alpha[\omega\tau \sin(\omega t) - \cos(\omega t) - \omega^2\tau^2 e^{(t-T)/\tau} + \omega^2\tau^2 + 1]/\omega^2\tau, & (0 \leq t \leq T) \\ 0. & (t \geq T) \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

The work done by the periodic external force (11) is

$$W_{\text{ext}} = \int_0^T F(t)v(t)dt \quad (17a)$$

$$= \int_0^T \frac{k \sin(\omega t)\alpha}{\omega^2\tau}(\omega\tau \sin(\omega t) - \cos(\omega t) - \omega^2\tau^2 e^{(t-T)/\tau} + \omega^2\tau^2 + 1)dt \quad (17b)$$

$$= \frac{m\tau^2\alpha^2}{2}\left(2 + \frac{T}{\tau} + \frac{T}{\tau^3\omega^2} - 2e^{-T/\tau}\right). \quad (17c)$$

Equation (17c) shows that the energy is conserved in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$: the work done by the external force is equal to the change of kinetic energy (in this case it is zero because $v(\pm\infty) = 0$) plus the radiated energy which is given by Eq. (15).

D. Constant external force

As another special case of Eq. (7) consider the constant force beginning at $t = 0$ and lasting until $t = T$:⁶

$$F = \begin{cases} 0, & (t < 0) \\ k, & (0 < t < T) \\ 0. & (t > T) \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

This force is not continuous at $t = 0$ and $t = T$ but its acceleration is continuous in these specific times.⁶ In this case Eq. (9) becomes

$$g(t) = -\frac{k}{m}e^{-t/\tau}. \quad (19)$$

The corresponding nonrunaway solution of Eq. (2) can be obtained from Eqs. (8) and (19):⁶

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} k(e^{t/\tau} - e^{(t-T)/\tau})/m, & (t \leq 0) \\ k(1 - e^{(t-T)/\tau})/m, & (0 \leq t \leq T) \\ 0. & (t \geq T) \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

The energy radiated can be calculated with Eqs. (5) and (20) or with Eqs. (10) and (19):

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \frac{k^2\tau^2}{2m}(1 - e^{-T/\tau})^2 + \frac{k^2\tau^2}{2m}(-3 + 2T/\tau + 4e^{-T/\tau} - e^{-2T/\tau}). \quad (21)$$

The first term on the right-hand side is the preradiative energy emitted during the interval $(-\infty, 0)$ and the second term is the radiated energy during the interval $(0, T)$. After a simple calculation, Eq. (21) becomes⁷

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \frac{k^2\tau}{m}(T - \tau + \tau e^{-T/\tau}). \quad (22)$$

The integration of Eq. (20) and the condition $v(-\infty) = 0$ yields⁶

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} k\tau(e^{t/\tau} - e^{(t-T)/\tau})/m, & (t \leq 0) \\ k(t + \tau - \tau e^{(t-T)/\tau})/m, & (0 \leq t \leq T) \\ kT/m, & (t \geq T) \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

The work done by the external force is then given by⁷

$$W_{\text{ext}} = \int_0^T kv(t)dt \quad (24a)$$

$$= \int_0^T \frac{k^2}{m}(t + \tau - \tau e^{(t-T)/\tau})dt \quad (24b)$$

$$= \frac{k^2 T^2}{2m} + \frac{k^2 \tau}{m}(T - \tau + \tau e^{-T/\tau}). \quad (24c)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (24c) is the final kinetic energy (the initial kinetic energy is assumed to be zero) and the second term is the total radiated energy. Therefore Eq. (24c) shows that the energy is conserved, that is, the work done by the external force is equal to the change of kinetic energy plus the total radiated energy.

III. THE NON-EXISTENCE OF THE PRERADIATION PHENOMENON

In Sec. II we have presented examples of acausal preradiative terms. However, acausal terms are physically unacceptable in purely classical considerations. Consistence of classical electrodynamics demands that acausal terms should not contribute to observable quantities.⁸ Therefore, the acausal preradiative terms should be natural and systematically eliminated from the total radiative energy.

We note in particular that the second term in Eq. (21) can be written as

$$\frac{k^2 \tau^2}{2m}(-3 + 2T/\tau + 4e^{-T/\tau} - e^{-2T/\tau}) = \frac{k^2 \tau}{m}(T - \tau + \tau e^{-T/\tau}) - \frac{k^2 \tau^2}{2m}(1 - e^{-T/\tau})^2. \quad (25)$$

The last term of Eq. (25) exactly cancels the first (preradiative) term: $k^2 \tau^2(1 - e^{-T/\tau})^2/(2m)$ of Eq. (21) so that Eqs. (21) and (25) imply Eq. (22) for the total radiated energy. A similar cancellation of the preradiative term in Eq. (14) occurs, that is, the second term of Eq. (14) contains a part that exactly cancels its first (preradiative) term so that the total energy radiated is given by Eq. (15). Equation (25) seems to be the result of an integration by parts. Therefore, let us consider an integration by parts for the general case given by Eq. (10). After integration by parts, we see that the second term in Eq. (10):

$$m\tau \int_0^T [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(t)]^2 e^{2t/\tau} dt = \tau \int_0^T [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(t)] e^{t/\tau} \cdot \mathbf{f}(t) dt - \frac{m\tau^2}{2} [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(0)]^2, \quad (26)$$

contains a part that exactly cancels its first (preradiative) term, so that Eqs. (10) and (26) give the effective radiated energy

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \tau \int_0^T [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(t)] e^{t/\tau} \cdot \mathbf{f}(t) dt. \quad (27)$$

We can verify Eq. (27) for the force in Eq. (11). If we use Eqs. (11) and (12) in Eq. (27), we obtain the result given in Eq. (15):

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \tau \int_0^T \alpha \left[\frac{\sin(\omega t)}{\omega \tau} + \cos(\omega t) - e^{(t-T)/\tau} \right] k \sin(\omega t) dt \quad (28a)$$

$$= \frac{m\tau^2\alpha^2}{2} \left[2 + \frac{T}{\tau} + \frac{T}{\tau^3\omega^2} - 2e^{-T/\tau} \right]. \quad (28b)$$

Equations (10) and (27) represent the same energy, but the trouble with Eq. (10) is that it explicitly exhibits a misleading time separation of energy in the interval of preacceleration $(-\infty, 0)$ and the interval $(0, T)$, although Eq. (27) shows that the energy is radiated only during $(0, T)$ which is the interval when the force acts. We conclude that there is no effective energy radiated during $(-\infty, 0)$, which is the interval when there is preacceleration. In other words: there does not exist preradiation for the family of external forces specified by Eq. (7), even though the charge is preaccelerated.

Rohrlich⁹ has emphasized that there are many equations that have multiple solutions some of which are not realized in nature, and we should distinguish between the existence of a mathematical solution and its physical existence. For instance, the AL equation has two solutions: $\mathbf{a} = 0$ and $\mathbf{a}(t) = \mathbf{a}(0)e^{t/\tau}$, but only the former is physically possible. Advanced solutions of Maxwell's equations violating the causality principle are another example of solutions that are not physical. Analogously, acausal preaccelerations (of well-behaved external forces) exist mathematically (as an implication of the AL equation) but not physically because they do not originate radiation.

IV. PRERADIATION AND THE KINETIC-RADIATIVE ENERGY

We note that the preradiative term in Eq. (10) effectively depends on the value of the acceleration at $t = 0$:

$$W_R(-\infty, 0) = \frac{m\tau^2}{2} [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(0)]^2 = \frac{m\tau^2[a(0)]^2}{2}. \quad (29)$$

It follows that from a formal point of view the elimination of preradiation implied by Eqs. (10) and (26) occurs at $t = 0$. To find how is the general dependence of the preradiative energies with respect the values of the acceleration, let us separate the interval of preacceleration $(-\infty, 0)$ into the intervals $(-\infty, -t_0)$ and $(-t_0, 0)$. After a simple calculation, we find $W_R(-\infty, -t_0) = m\tau^2[a(-t_0)]^2/2$ and $W_R(-t_0, 0) = m\tau^2([a(0)]^2 - [a(-t_0)]^2)/2$. The latter

term depends on the value of the acceleration at the initial and final times of the interval $(-t_0, 0)$. But the time $-t_0$ is arbitrary and thus we have here a first lesson on preradiation: *the energy emitted during the interval of preacceleration depends on the initial and final values of the acceleration in that interval.*

Equations (15) and (22) are examples of energy radiated by a charge during the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$. To calculate these energies we have essentially combined Eqs. (1) and (2), assumed the condition $\mathbf{a}(\infty) = 0$ and integrated from $t = -\infty$ to $t = \infty$. The justification for the combination of Eqs. (1) and (2) is that the emission of radiation is generally accompanied by a radiation reaction. The justification for the assumption $\mathbf{a}(\infty) = 0$ is that it implies nonrunaway solutions. The justification for the integration over all time is that radiation is a process that occurs during a time interval rather than at one time.

To generalize the method used to obtain Eqs. (15) and (22), we identify the acceleration in Eq. (1) with that appearing in Eq. (2) and obtain:

$$P = \tau \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{F} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{m\tau^2 a^2}{2} \right). \quad (30)$$

In analogy to the kinetic energy $T = mv^2/2$, we define the kinetic-radiative energy as

$$T_R = \frac{m\tau^2 a^2}{2}. \quad (31)$$

Therefore

$$P = \tau \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{F} + \frac{dT_R}{dt}. \quad (32)$$

The integration of Eq. (32) over the interval (t_1, t_2) gives the energy radiated during that interval:

$$W_R(t_1, t_2) = \tau \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{F} dt + \Delta T_R(t_1, t_2), \quad (33)$$

where $\Delta T_R(t_1, t_2) = T_R(t_2) - T_R(t_1)$. If the force vanishes in the interval (t_1, t_2) then

$$W_R(t_1, t_2) = \Delta T_R(t_1, t_2), \quad (34)$$

according to which the radiated energy in (t_1, t_2) is given by the change of the kinetic-radiative energy in that interval. In particular, preradiation occurs when the external force is zero and therefore the preradiative terms satisfy Eq. (34). We have here a second lesson on preradiation which makes more precise the first lesson: *the energy emitted in the interval of preacceleration (t_1, t_2) is given by the change of the kinetic-radiative energy in that interval.*

A well-behaved force subject only to the condition that it is switched on in the distant past and switched off in the distant future, that is, $\mathbf{f}(\pm\infty) = 0$, is physically realizable. In solving Eq. (2) for the force in Eq. (7) we imposed the condition³ $\mathbf{a}(\infty) = 0$ to obtain the nonrunaway solution (8), which implies the condition $\mathbf{a}(-\infty) = 0$. In other words: the nonrunaway solutions of Eq. (2) for well-behaved forces satisfy the boundary conditions $\mathbf{a}(\pm\infty) = 0$,¹⁰ which indicate that the charge begins and ends the motion as a free particle¹¹ and imply $\Delta T_R(\pm\infty) = 0$. We have here a third lesson on preradiation: *the nonrunaway solutions of the AL equation for well-behaved external forces satisfy $\Delta T_R(\pm\infty) = 0$ and therefore the associated preradiative energy is vanished.*

On the other hand, if the solution of Eq. (2) in the interval (t_1, t_2) is such that it vanishes at $t = t_1$ and $t = t_2$ then $\Delta T_R(t_1, t_2) = 0$ and Eq. (31) reduces to the expression

$$W_R(t_1, t_2) = \tau \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{F} dt, \quad (35)$$

which causally links the radiated energy with the external force in such a way that *the energy is radiated only in the interval when the force acts.* From Eqs. (5) and (35) we obtain

$$m\tau \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a^2 dt = \tau \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{F} dt + \Delta T_R(t_1, t_2), \quad (36)$$

which shows that the subtle difference between Eqs. (5) and (35) is that the latter equation implicitly assumes $\Delta T_R(t_1, t_2) = 0$.

Let us now apply Eq. (35) to the family of forces specified by Eq. (7). The associated nonrunaway solution satisfies $\Delta T_R(\pm\infty) = 0$. If Eqs. (7) and (8) are used in Eq. (35) with $t_1 = -\infty$ and $t_2 = \infty$, we obtain the result in Eq. (27):

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \tau \int_0^T [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(t)] e^{t/\tau} \cdot \mathbf{f}(t) dt. \quad (37)$$

V. ENERGY CONSERVATION

To verify that the energy is conserved in the time interval $(-\infty, \infty)$ if the external force is given by Eq. (7), we need to find the velocities associated with Eq. (8). By assuming $\mathbf{v}(-\infty) = 0$, we find

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \begin{cases} \tau[\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(0)]e^{t/\tau}, & (t \leq 0) \\ \mathbf{V}(t) - \mathbf{V}(0) + \tau[\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(t)]e^{t/\tau}, & (0 \leq t \leq T) \\ \mathbf{V}(T) - \mathbf{V}(0), & (t \geq T) \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

where $\mathbf{V}(t)$ is the velocity of the charge when the radiation reaction is not considered. The work done by the external force (7) is then given by

$$W_{\text{ext}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t) dt = \int_0^T \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t) dt \quad (39a)$$

$$= \frac{m(\mathbf{v}(T))^2}{2} + \tau \int_0^T \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot [\mathbf{g}(T) - \mathbf{g}(t)] e^{t/\tau} dt. \quad (39b)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (39b) is the final kinetic energy (the initial is assumed to be zero) and the second term is the total radiated energy given by Eq. (37). Equation (39b) indicates that the energy is conserved in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, that is, the work done by the external force equals the change of kinetic energy plus the total radiated energy. It follows that *energy conservation in Eq. (39b) supports the conclusion that the energy is radiated only during the interval when the force acts*. Applications of Eq. (39b) are given by Eqs. (17c) and (24c).

VI. DISCONTINUOUS FORCES

We have successfully applied Eq. (27) to the force (7) which is not differentiable at $t = 0$ and $t = T$. We can also apply Eq. (27) to the force (18) which is not continuous at $t = 0$ and $t = T$. If we use Eqs. (18) and (20) in Eq. (27) and integrate from ε to $T - \varepsilon$, we have

$$W_R(-\infty, \infty) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{T-\varepsilon} \frac{k^2 \tau}{m} (1 - e^{(t-T)/\tau}) dt = \frac{k^2 \tau}{m} (-2\varepsilon + T + \tau e^{\varepsilon-T/\tau} - \tau e^{-\varepsilon/\tau}). \quad (40)$$

After taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the result given in Eq. (22).⁷

We should not apply directly Eq. (27) to the delta function force in Eq. (3) because in this case the associated acceleration is not continuous at $t = 0$. In this case we can apply Eq. (34) with $t_1 = -\infty$ to $t_2 = -\varepsilon$. The result is

$$W_R(-\infty, -\varepsilon) = \frac{k^2}{2m} e^{-2\varepsilon/\tau}, \quad (41)$$

which represents apparent preradiation. However, we observe that W_R in Eq. (41) can be considered as an effective function of ε . Therefore we can write $W_R = W_{\text{eff}}(\varepsilon) = k^2 e^{-2\varepsilon/\tau} / (2m)$. In the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $W_{\text{eff}}(0) = k^2 / (2m)$, that is, Eq. (6). Thus the energy is radiated at $t = 0$, the only time at which the delta function force acts and hence preradiation is also eliminated in this case. Of course, Eq. (27) and more generally Eq. (33) apply to continuously differentiable forces.

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Preaccelerations are commonly ignored by appealing to the argument that for electrons they occur in times so small (of order $\tau = 6.24 \times 10^{-24}$ s) that they would be practically unobservable. Preaccelerations have also been connected with the point charge model assumed in the derivation of the AL equation so that the classical electrodynamics of a point charge seems to be a questionable theory.¹² The idea of abandoning the point charge model to avoid preacceleration has been successfully developed by several authors.^{12,13} Here we have demonstrated that for well-behaved external forces acting over finite times, a preaccelerated point charge does not have radiative effects, which is consistent with the idea that preacceleration exists mathematically but not physically. Preradiation is eliminated because the nonrun-away solutions of the AL equation for well-behaved external forces satisfy $\Delta T_R(\pm\infty) = 0$, where $T_R = m\tau^2 a^2/2$ is the kinetic-radiative energy. The radiative energy is emitted only in time intervals when the external force acts on the charge.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Professor R. F. O'Connell for useful discussions. He also thanks to the Department of Physics and Astronomy of the Louisiana State University for its hospitality.

* Electronic address: heras@phys.lsu.edu

¹ T. A. Abbott and D. J. Griffiths, "Acceleration without radiation," *Am. J. Phys.* **53**, 1203–1211 (1985).

² P. A. M. Dirac, "Classical theory of radiating electrons," *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A* **167**, 148–169 (1938).

³ G. N. Plass, "Classical electrodynamic equation of motion with radiative reaction," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **33**, 37–61 (1961).

⁴ D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics* (Prentice Hall, Englewood, NJ, 1999), 3rd ed., Sec. 11.2.2.

⁵ Reference 4, Problem 11.28.

⁶ Reference 4, Problem 11.19.

- ⁷ Reference 4, Problem 11.27.
- ⁸ There is another example of an acausal term in electrodynamics. The Coulomb-gauge scalar potential Φ_C and hence its gradient $-\nabla\Phi_C$ propagate instantaneously, which violates causality. However, the second term of the electric field $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\Phi_C - (1/c)\partial\mathbf{A}_C/\partial t$ contains $\nabla\Phi_C$, which exactly cancels the acausal term $-\nabla\Phi_C$, so that the field \mathbf{E} is given by its usual retarded expression. See, e.g., J. D. Jackson, “From Lorenz to Coulomb and other explicit gauge transformations,” *Am. J. Phys.* **70**, 917–928 (2002); J. A. Heras, “Comment on ‘Causality, the Coulomb field, and Newton’s law of gravitation,’ F. Rohrlich [*Am. J. Phys.* **70** 411–414 (2002)],” *Am. J. Phys.* **71**, 729–730 (2003); *ibid*, “Instantaneous fields in classical electrodynamics,” *Europhys. Lett.* **69**, 1–7 (2005).
- ⁹ Fritz Rohrlich, “Time in classical electrodynamics,” *Am. J. Phys.* **74**, 313–315 (2006).
- ¹⁰ The conditions $\mathbf{a}(\pm\infty) = 0$ are sufficient to guarantee the vanishing of the change of the Schott energy ($E_s = m\tau\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{a}$) during the time interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, that is, $\Delta E_s = E_s(\infty) - E_s(-\infty) = 0$. See, J. A. Heras and R. F. O’Connell, “Generalization of the Schott energy in electrodynamic radiation theory,” *Am. J. Phys.* **74**, 150–153 (2006). We note that energy conservation requires $\Delta E_s = 0$ to infer the radiative force $m\tau\dot{\mathbf{a}}$. See, J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (John Wiley, New York, 1999), 3rd ed., p. 749. However, Ford and O’Connell have obtained Eq. (2) without using $\Delta E_s = 0$. See, G. W. Ford and R. F. O’Connell, “Radiation reaction in electrodynamics and the elimination of runaway solutions,” *Phys. Lett. A* **157**, 217–220 (1991).
- ¹¹ The condition in the distant future $\mathbf{a}(\infty) = 0$ in Eq. (2) fixes the condition in the distant past $\mathbf{a}(-\infty) = 0$ if well-behaved external forces, $\mathbf{f}(\pm\infty) = 0$, are considered. If instead, we consider these same forces and assume $\mathbf{a}(-\infty) = 0$, then the solution of Eq. (2) in the distant future becomes infinite, $\mathbf{a}(\infty) = \infty$. In this sense the physics of the AL equation is consistent with the idea that the future determines the past. We note also that $\mathbf{f}(\pm\infty) = 0$ and $\mathbf{a}(\pm\infty) = 0$ are sufficient conditions to guarantee the vanishing of the radiation reaction force $m\tau\dot{\mathbf{a}}$ at $t = \pm\infty$.
- ¹² R. F. O’Connell, “The equation of motion of an electron,” *Phys. Lett. A* **313**, 491–497 (2003).
- ¹³ See the recent review of A. D. Yaghjian, “Relativistic dynamics of a charged sphere, (Springer, New York, 2006), 2nd ed., Lect. Notes Phys. 686.